

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE
ACADEMIC UNIT REVIEW
COMMITTEE: PROMOTION**

Academic Unit/Department _____

Candidate Name _____

Proposed Rank _____

Please indicate the method by which the committee was formed:

- ☐ If possible, the Academic Unit/Department Review Committee should consist of at least three (3) tenured faculty members in the department *above* the rank of the candidate for promotion, excluding the chair/associate dean of the academic unit and any other candidates for promotion to the same rank.

If the above was not possible, then additional members were selected by the following methods, in order, until a committee of at least three (3) faculty members was obtained:

- ☐ Addition of tenured faculty in the department *at* the rank of the candidate for promotion excluding the chair/associate dean of the academic unit and any other candidates for promotion to the same rank.
- ☐ Addition of tenured faculty in the department at or above the rank of the candidate for promotion have submitted a plan to select additional members to produce a committee of three (3) that is acceptable to the dean of the college.
- ☐ The Chief Academic Officer/Provost has appointed tenured faculty above the rank of the candidate from other academic units to produce a committee of three (3) excluding deans, associate deans, or applicants for the same rank.

RESULTS OF ACADEMIC UNIT COMMITTEE FACULTY VOTE:

<u>Action</u>	<u>Number of Votes</u>
Grant	_____
Deny	_____
Abstain	_____
Total	_____ (Must equal total number on committee including Committee Chair)

RECOMMENDATION OF ACADEMIC UNIT COMMITTEE: Grant ☐ Deny ☐

(Please continue on the next page with a summary of rationale for the decision)

SUMMARY OF RATIONALE FOR ACADEMIC UNIT COMMITTEE DECISION:

Signature of Academic Unit Review Committee Chair

Date