## Reflection Rationale Rubric Standard 6

Peer Critique $\square$ Instructor Critique $\square$

| Name | Course |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Semester/Year |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Description | T | A | UA | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard is stated and written in full | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Relationship to Conceptual Framework |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Artifact is labeled |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Course and date identified | , |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Rationale is written in paragraph form addressing all the questions noted below |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 1. How does the artifact meet the standard? <br> The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making. |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2. How does the artifact connect principles and theories that you learned in this course to actual practice in public schools? |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 3. What did you learn by completing the artifact? |  |  | $0$ |  |
| 4. How you will use these skills and information as a teacher? |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| The rationale is typed. |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Good sentence structure is used. <br> Grammar and Spelling are correct. |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| The rationale is complete. |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |

## LEGEND:

$$
\text { Target }=\mathbf{T} \quad \text { Acceptable }=\mathbf{A} \quad \text { Unacceptable }=\mathbf{U A}
$$

